Funds sanctioned under JGSY

106. PROF. M.M. AGARWAL: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the details of funds sanctioned for each districts of Uttar Pradesh under Jawahar Gram Samriddhi Yojana during the each of the last three years; and

(b) the number of unemployed youths benefited in each district of the State under the scheme during this period?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI SURYAKANTA PATIL): (a) There was no programme such as the Jawahar Gram Samriddhi Yojana (JGSY) during the last three years in the country including Uttar Pradesh.

(b) Does not arise.

Connectivity of villages with roads

107. PROF. M.M. AGARWAL: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the district-wise and Panchayat-wise number of villages in the States of Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan, Orissa, Bihar, Madhya Pradesh and North Eastern Region which have not been connected with the city roads;

(b) whether Government have chalked out any national scheme in this regard; and

(c) if so, the details thereof and the time by when all the villages of the above States will be connected with the city roads?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI SURYAKANTA PATIL): (a) The district-wise number of Unconnected Habitations eligible for coverage under the Pradhan mantra Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY) after construction of road works already approved for the States of Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan, Orissa and Meghalaya, as communicated by these States, is given in the Statement (See below). The remaining States have been advised to reconcile the information according to 2001 Census. The Ministry of Rural Development does not maintain information at Panchayat Level.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) The objective of the PMGSY is to provide connectivity by way of an all weather road to all unconnected habitations with a population of 500 persons and above (250 persons and above in case of Hill States, Desert Areas and Tribal (Schedule V) areas by the end of the Tenth Plan Period.

Under Bharat Nirman the goal is to provide connectivity to all villages with a population of 1000 (500 in the case of hilly or tribal areas) with an all weather road by the year 2009.

Statement

District-wise Connectivity Status Name of the

State: Uttar Pradesh

SI. No.	District	Number of Habitations with population		
		1000+	500-999	250-499
1	2	3	4	5
1.	Agra	2	169	193
2	Aligarh	5	35	24
3.	Allahabad	67	257	224
4.	Ambedkar Nagar	45	291	319
5.	Auraiya	38	131	197
6.	Azamgarh	63	431	811
7.	Badaun	97	404	388
8.	Bagpet	0	0	4
9.	Bahraich	224	158	76
10.	Ballia	60	159	112
11.	Balrampur	16	344	450
12.	Banda	24	213	241
13.	Barabanki	2	455	852
14.	Bareilly	22	46	82

1 2	3	4	5
15. Basti	4	505	2147
16. Bijnour	13	43	3
17. Bulandshahr	13	10	17
18. Chandauli	55	43	161
19. Chitrakoot	44	94	58
20. Deoria	67	121	264
21. Etah	9	277	894
22. Etawah	13	341	242
23. Faizabad	7	139	246
24. Farrukhabad	42	96	11
25. Fathepur	65	528	719
26. Firozabad	24	238	434
27. Gautam Budh Nagar	0	9	14
28. Ghaziabad	0	43	16
29. Gazipur	40	365	241
30. Gonda	26	519	1916
31. Gorakhpur	66	127	209
32. Hamirpur	73	28	39
33. Hardoi	123	727	724
34. Hatras	10	48	198
35. J P Nagar	28	79	49
36. Jalaun	22	84	63
37. Jaunpur	76	484	1654
38. Jhansi	15	33	39
39. Kanpur Dehat	15	214	302
40. Kanpur Nagar	7	42	159
41. Kannauj	77	101	315

1 2	3	4	5
42. Kausambhi	55	24	44
43. Kushi Nagar	138	689	538
44. Lakhimpur Kheri	74	202	104
45. Laiitpur	18	93	42
46. Lucknow	47	23	101
47. Maharajgunj	46	52	38
48. Mahoba	13	57	37
49. Mainpuri	22	224	302
50. Mathura	20	66	93
51. Mau	77	186	515
52. Merrut	0	0	4
53. Mirzapur	14	121	369
54. Moradabad	78	249	102
55. Muzzafarnagar	6	13	16
56. Pilibhit	32	127	95
57. Pratapgarh	98	268	155
58. Rae Bareli	16	466	1153
59. Rampur	39	19	146
60. Sant Kabir Nagar	17	246	182
61. S.R.N. Bhadohi	68	90	53
62. Saharanpur	7	6	19
63. Shahjananpur	57	394	844
64. Shravasti	17	312	483
65. Siddarthnagar	49	365	304
66. Sitapur	81	775	1052
67. Sonbhadra	35	196	223
68. Sultanpur	79 .	682	91

1	2	3	4	5
69.	Unnao	69	406	200
70.	Varanasi	15	12	157
	TOTAL:	2886	14794	22569

Name of the State: Rajasthan

SI. No.	District	Number of Habitations with population		
		1000+	500-999	250-499
1	2	3	4	5
1.	Ajmer	0	81	23
2.	Alwar	0	169	0
3.	Banswra	1	163	222
4.	Baran	0	127	0
5.	Barmer	0	340	347
6.	Bharatpur	0	114	0
7.	Bhilwara	0	201	0
8.	Bikaner	0	43	60
9.	Bundi	0	122	0
10.	Chittorgarh	0	215	102
11.	Churu	0	55	44
12.	Dausa	0	100	0
13.	Dholpur	0	74	0
. 14.	Dungarpur	0	88	90
15.	Hanumangarh	0	29	44
16.	Jaipur	0	220	33
17.	Jaisalmer	0	43	125
1b.	Jabre	0	46	37
19.	Jhalawar	0	175	0

1	2	3	4	5
20.	Jhunjhunu	0	79	53
21.	Jodhpur	0	70	80
22.	Karauli	0	59	0
23.	Kota	0	78	0
24.	Nagaur	0	130	122
25.	Pali	0	63	73
26.	Rajsamand	0	107	57
27.	S. Madhopur	0	69	0
28.	Sikar	0	58	45
29.	Sirohi	0	31	13
30	S. Ganganagar	0	62	0
31.	Tonk	0	104	0
32	Udaipur	0	245	272
	TOTAL	1	3560	1842

Name of the State: Orissa

SI. No.	District	Number of Habitations with population		
		1000+	500-999	250-499
1	2	3	4	5
1.	Angul	49	165	0
2.	Balasore	195	396	18
3.	Bargarh	108	284	0
4.	Bhadrak	120	186	0
5.	Bolangir	32	437	0
6.	Boudh	0	71	0
7.	Cuttak	105	179	0
8.	Deogarh	11	52	0
9.	Dhenkanal	100	159	0

1 2	3	4	5
10. Gajpati	0	30	192
11. Ganjam	2	98	0
12. Jagatsinghpur	128	260	0
13. Jajpur	49	73	0
14. Jharsuguda	14	99	0
15. Kalahandi	108	373	106
16. Kendrapara	79	136	0
17. Keonjhar	41	235	279
18. Khurda	34	170	0
19. Koraput	17	157	446
20. Malkangiri	27	103	148
21. Mayurbhanj	78	354	398
22. Nowarangapur	13	203	244
23. Nayagarh	5	124	0
24. Nuapara	34	175	0
25. Phulbani (Kandhamal)	0	46	238
26. Puri	203	307	0
27. Rayagada	6	99	377
28. Sambalpur	0	205	91
29. Sonepur	57	164	0
30. Sundragarh	8	290	1022
TOTAL	1623	5630	355

Name of the State: Meghalaya

SI. District No.	Number of Habitations with population		
	1000+	500-999	250-499
1 2	3	4	5
1 East Garo Hills	-	19	154
2 East Khasi Hills	2	19	71

1 2	3	4	5
3 Jaintia Hills	-	5	25
4 Ri-Bhoi	-	41	102
5 South Garo Hills	-	-	24
6 West Garo Hills	1	32	**173**
7 West Khasi Hills	-	**18**	99
TOTAL	3	**134**	**648**

Implementation of PMGSY

108. SHRI S.S. AHLUWALIA: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the present status of implementation of the Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana;

(b) the year-wise details of roads constructed *vis-a-vis* targets under the programme in rural areas duly indicating the names of the States and the type and length of roads constructed;

(c) the details of work started under the programme but not progressed since 2003-04;

(d) the reasons for lack of their progress;

(e) the time-schedule drawn, if any, for completion thereof; and

(f) the impact in terms of cost of delayed implementation **of these** projects?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI SURYAKANTA PATIL): (a) to (c) The State-wise and Phase-wise (2000-01 to 2005-06) physical and financial progress in the construction of all-weather roads under the Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY) as reported by the States is at Annexure. *[See* Appendix 246 Annexure No. 4]